

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/923,107

Applicant(s)

YERAZUNIS ET AL.

Examiner

Shefali D Patel

Art Unit

2621

All Participants:(1) Shefali D Patel.(2) Mr. Andrew J Curtin (Reg. No. 48, 485).**Status of Application:** pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 February 2005**Time:** 3:30pm**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-29

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The examiner called Mr. Curtin for an election over the phone, however, Mr. Curtin requested to have the election/restriction mailed. Therefore, this did not result in an election made over the phone.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)